

PROCEDURE 504 – STUDENT DRESS AND APPEARANCE

I. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this policy, the following terms have the meaning given them in this section;

A. Destruction to School Property

The building administration may prohibit articles of clothing that cause excessive maintenance problems, such as cleats on boots, shoes that scratch or mark floors, trousers with metal inserts that scratch furniture, etc.

B. Health and Safety

1. Shoes and shirts/tops must be worn at all times in the building for health and safety reasons.
2. Individual course safety requirements, including those related to dress, will be specified by the instructor and communicated to students. Students must comply with course safety requirements at all times.
3. Any student failing to comply with course safety requirements may be temporarily suspended from participation in said course, and the registration of a student for such course may be cancelled for willful, flagrant, or repeated failure to observe the requirements.

C. Clothing That Offends Community Standards

Clothing should be appropriate, neat, clean, and inoffensive. Examples of offensive clothing include, but are not limited to:

1. Clothing advertising substances illegal for children and adolescents
2. Clothing containing obscene and/or profane language, slogans, emblems or pictures
3. Clothing containing gang symbols and/or emblems, or clothing worn in a manner to identify gang membership
4. Sexually provocative or immodest clothing

II. NOTIFICATION

Notification of the contents of this policy is to be given in student handbooks and communicated to parents.

Procedure 504 Revised: 11/22/16

Procedure 504 Dated: 12/7/99

(formerly Procedure 5213)

Procedure Revised: 6/5/91

Procedure Revised: 5/1/84

Legal Reference:

United States Constitution, Amendment 1

Tinker v. Des Moines Indep. Sch. Dist., 393 U.S. 503, 89 S.Ct. 733, 21 L.Ed.2d 731 (1969)

B.W.A. v. Farmington R-7 Sch. Dist., 554 F.3d 734 (8th Cir. 2009)

Lowry v. Watson Chapel Sch. Dist., 540 F.3d 752 (8th Cir. 2008)

Stephenson v. Davenport Cmty. Sch. Dist., 110 F.3d 1303 (8th Cir. 1997)

D.B. ex rel. Brogdon v. Lafon, 217 Fed. App. 518 (6th Cir. 2007)

Madrid v. Anthony, 510 F.Supp.2d 425 (S.D. Tex. 2007)

Hicks v. Halifax County Bd. Of Educ., 93 F.Supp.2d 649 (E.D.N.C. 1999)
McIntire v. Bethel School, Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 3, 804 F.Supp. 1415 (W.D. Okla. 1992)
Olesen v. Bd. Of Educ. Of Sch. Dist. No. 228, 676 F. Supp. 820 (N.D. Ill. 1987)
M.S. 121A.32 – Eye Protection Devices

School Board
INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT 279
Maple Grove, Minnesota